



## International Mounted Games Association Compliance Sub-Committee

---

### *Work Order Document*

|            |                               |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| Requested: | 10/12/2014                    |
| Deadline:  | 30/09/2015                    |
| Reference: | COM-201415-004                |
| Name:      | Competition Assessment System |

#### Requirements:

The following is a proposal for the Compliance sub-committee to consider. If found worthy of follow-up, a detailed proposal document should be compiled to be submitted to the 2015 IMGA AGM for approval and subsequent implementation in 2016.

The "organisation of an IMGA sanctioned competition" document lays out the requirements placed on host nations when organising one of these competitions. The pre-competition inspections serve to ensure that hosts are indeed adhering to these requirements and the post competition referee reports make a final assessment of how these requirements were eventually followed. These reports take into account a number of different factors as explained in the document.

In order to make the whole process more transparent and easy to understand for the wider IMGA committee as well as participants at the competition, it may be possible to introduce some sort of scoring system to assess compliance with the "organisation of an IMGA sanctioned competition" document.

At a high level, such a system could extract each individual point that needs to be inspected/assessed and give each point a weighted score based on the overall importance. When performing inspections, the inspector would then award a number of points (up to the maximum attributed to that point) and in the end come up with an overall score which could be converted into an overall % satisfaction rating. For example, preparation for a competition after the first inspection may be rated at 70%, and 85% after the second inspection, rising to 90% when the competition actually takes place.

Alternatively such percentages could be expressed according to their range :

above 90% highly satisfactory  
70% - 90% Satisfactory  
50% - 70% Acceptable  
30% - 50% - Unacceptable  
10% - 30% - Highly unacceptable  
Below 10% - Rubbish

Ideally the rating should improve after each of the three stages.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Completed Date: |  |
|-----------------|--|

Comments:

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|